



# Time Propagation of the MCTDHF Equations in Electron Dynamics

Othmar Koch and Christian Lubich

Supported by the Austrian Academy of Sciences, APART Program

## MCTDHF

Multi-configuration time-dependent Hartree–Fock method: The Time-Dependent Schrödinger Equation for a system of unbound fermions interacting by Coulomb forces,

$$i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t}(x_1, \dots, x_f, t) = H\psi(x_1, \dots, x_f, t), \quad \psi(0) = \psi_0,$$

where

$$H = T + V = \sum_{k=1}^f \left( -\frac{1}{2}\Delta^{(k)} + \sum_{l < k} \frac{1}{|x_k - x_l|} \right)$$

is approximated by  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x_1, \dots, x_f, t) &\approx u := \sum_J a_J(t) \Phi_J(x, t) \\ &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_f} a_{j_1, \dots, j_f}(t) \phi_{j_1}(x_1, t) \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_{j_f}(x_f, t). \end{aligned}$$

Pauli exclusion principle:  $(a_J)$  is antisymmetric.

Dirac–Frenkel variational principle:

$$\left\langle \delta u \left| i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - H u \right. \right\rangle = 0 \quad \forall \text{ variations } \delta u \in \mathcal{T}_u \mathcal{M}.$$

Additional constraints for uniqueness:

$$\langle \phi_j | \phi_k \rangle = \delta_{j,k}, \quad \left\langle \phi_j \left| \frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial t} \right. \right\rangle = -i \langle \phi_j | T | \phi_k \rangle.$$

This yields the equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned} i\frac{da_J}{dt} &= \sum_K \langle \Phi_J | V | \Phi_K \rangle a_K =: \mathcal{A}_V(\phi) a, \\ i\frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial t} &= T\phi_j + (I - P) \sum_k \sum_l \rho_{j,l}^{-1} \bar{V}_{l,k} \phi_k \\ &=: T\phi + \mathcal{B}_V(a, \phi), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_j &:= \langle \phi_j | u \rangle, & \dots & \text{single hole functions,} \\ \rho_{j,l} &:= \langle \psi_j | \psi_l \rangle, & \dots & \text{density matrix,} \\ \bar{V}_{l,k} &:= \langle \psi_l | V | \psi_k \rangle, & \dots & \text{meanfield operator matrix,} \\ P &:= \sum_j |\phi_j\rangle \langle \phi_j|, & \dots & \text{orthogonal projector.} \end{aligned}$$

## Existence Result

**Theorem 1:** Consider the MCTDHF equations of motion. If the initial data for  $\phi_j$  is in the Sobolev space  $H^2$ , then there exists a unique classical solution of the MCTDHF equations satisfying

$$a_J \in C^2([0, t^*], \mathbb{C}), \quad \phi_j \in C^1([0, t^*], L^2) \cap C([0, t^*], H^2),$$

where either  $t^* = \infty$  or the density matrix  $\rho$  becomes singular for  $t = t^*$ .

## Outline of Proof

• Sobolev spaces  $H^k$ : The set of all functions in the Hilbert space  $L^2$  of square integrable functions which have weak derivatives up to order  $k$ . Equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{H^k} := \left( \sum \|\partial^\alpha u\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where the sum is over all derivatives up to order  $k$ .

•  $\mathcal{A}_V, \mathcal{B}_V$  contain terms

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \phi_1(x) \left| \frac{1}{|x-y|} \right| \phi_2(x)\phi_3(y) - \phi_3(x)\phi_2(y) \right\rangle &=: \mathcal{F}_1(y), \\ \left\langle \phi_1(x)\tilde{\phi}_1(y) \left| \frac{1}{|x-y|} \right| \phi_2(x)\tilde{\phi}_2(y) - \tilde{\phi}_2(x)\phi_2(y) \right\rangle &=: \mathcal{F}_2, \end{aligned}$$

taking into account the anti-symmetry for fermions.

• Hardy, Hölder and Sobolev inequalities imply that bounds for  $\|\mathcal{F}_2\|$  and the  $L^2, H^1$  and  $H^2$  norms of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  only depend linearly on  $\|\phi_j\|_{H^2}$ .

• It is well known that  $T$  generates a strongly continuous group of unitary operators on  $H^2$ .

• Thus, by a classical theorem, a solution in  $H^2$  exists locally in time.

• This satisfies the Dirac-Frenkel variational principle, whence energy conservation implies bounded  $H^1$  norm.

• An integral representation associated with the variation of constant formula and the Gronwall lemma finally imply exponentially bounded  $H^2$  norm.

• Thus, a solution exists in  $H^2$  when  $\rho$  is nonsingular.  $\square$

• **Remark:** A different proof to show global existence in  $H^1$  is given in [Bardosetal08]. This work includes a criterion for global invertibility of  $\rho$ .

## Time Propagation: Variational Splitting

**Algorithm:** One step  $t_0 \mapsto t_0 + \Delta t$

• Compute  $u_{1/2}^- \in \mathcal{M}$  as the solution at time  $t_0 + \frac{1}{2}\Delta t$  of

$$\left\langle \delta u \left| i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - T \right. \right\rangle = 0 \quad \forall \delta u \in \mathcal{T}_u \mathcal{M}, \quad (1)$$

with initial value  $u(t_0) = u_0$ .

• Compute  $u_{1/2}^+ \in \mathcal{M}$  as the solution at time  $t_0 + \Delta t$  of

$$\left\langle \delta u \left| i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - V \right. \right\rangle = 0 \quad \forall \delta u \in \mathcal{T}_u \mathcal{M},$$

with initial value  $u(t_0) = u_{1/2}^-$ .

• Compute  $u_1 \in \mathcal{M}$  as the solution at time  $t_0 + \Delta t$  of (1) with initial value  $u(t_0 + 1/2\Delta t) = u_{1/2}^+$ .

**Remarks:**

• Originally proposed by C. Lubich for quantum molecular dynamics with bounded potential  $V$  [Lubich04].

• Equivalent to the classical second-order Strang splitting for the equations of motion into

$$\hat{T} := -i(0, T)^T, \quad \hat{V} := -i(\mathcal{A}_V, \mathcal{B}_V).$$

• Equations of motion uncouple into single-particle (linear) Schrödinger equations with Hamiltonian  $T$  and computationally expensive computations for the particle-particle potential  $V$

• These can be treated differently in both discretization method and time-stepping  $\implies$  increase in efficiency

## Convergence Theorem

**Theorem 2:** Consider the numerical approximation of the MCTDHF equations of motion given by time semi-discretization based on variational splitting with step size  $\Delta t$ ,  $u_j \mapsto u_{j+1} = \mathcal{S}_{\Delta t} u_j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots$ . Then the convergence estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - u(t_n)\|_{H^1} &\leq \text{const } \Delta t, & \text{for } t_n = n\Delta t, \\ \|u_n - u(t_n)\|_{L^2} &\leq \text{const } (\Delta t)^2, \end{aligned}$$

hold if the exact solution satisfies  $u \in H^2$  for the first bound and  $u \in H^3$  for the second.

## Preparation: Lie Derivatives

For a (nonlinear) vector field  $F$ , denote by  $\varphi_F^t$  the flow of the differential equation

$$\dot{\psi} = F(\psi),$$

so that  $\varphi_F^t(\psi_0)$  is the solution at time  $t$  of

$$\dot{\psi} = F(\psi), \quad \psi(0) = \psi_0.$$

If  $G$  is another vector field, define the Lie derivative  $D_F$  by

$$(D_F G)(\psi) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} G(\varphi_F^t(\psi)) = G'(\psi)F(\psi)$$

for a vector field  $G$  on  $H^1$  and  $\psi \in H^1$ . Now define

$$(\exp(tD_F)G)(\psi) := G(\varphi_F^t(\psi)).$$

Manipulation rules:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \exp(tD_F)G(\psi) &= (D_F \exp(tD_F)G)(\psi) \\ &= (\exp(tD_F)D_F G)(\psi), \\ [D_F, D_G] &= D_{[G, F]}, \end{aligned}$$

for the commutator  $[D_F, D_G] := D_F D_G - D_G D_F$  of the Lie derivatives of two vector fields  $F$  and  $G$ .

See [Haireretal02].

**Example:** The commutator  $[\hat{T}, \hat{V}]$  is computed using

$$\begin{aligned} [i\Delta, i\mathcal{B}_V](\phi) &= \Delta \mathcal{B}_V(\phi) + i\mathcal{B}_V'(\phi)(\Delta\phi), \quad \text{where} \\ i\mathcal{B}_V'(\phi)(\Delta\phi) &= \frac{d}{d\tau} \Big|_{\tau=0} \mathcal{B}_V(e^{i\tau\Delta}\phi). \end{aligned}$$

It is found that it contains terms of the forms

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \phi_1(x) \left| \nabla_y \frac{1}{|x-y|} \right| \phi_2(x)\nabla_y \phi_3(y) - \phi_3(x)\nabla_y \phi_2(y) \right\rangle, \\ \left\langle \phi_1(x) \left| \nabla_x \frac{1}{|x-y|} \right| \nabla_x \phi_2(x)\phi_3(y) - \nabla_x \phi_3(x)\phi_2(y) \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which can be estimated using Hardy, Hölder and Sobolev inequalities as in **Theorem 1**.

## Outline of Proof

• First, stability in the  $H^1$  norm is shown: If

$$\|u\|_{H^1} \leq M_1, \quad \|v\|_{H^1} \leq M_1,$$

then we have

$$\|\mathcal{S}_{\Delta t}(u) - \mathcal{S}_{\Delta t}(v)\|_{H^1} \leq e^{c_1 \Delta t} \|u - v\|_{H^1},$$

with a constant  $c_1 = c_1(M_1)$ .

• We then estimate the local error in  $H^1$ . Let  $u \in H^2$  and for some constant  $M_2 > 0$ ,

$$\|u\|_{H^2} \leq M_2,$$

then

$$\|\mathcal{S}_{\Delta t}(u_0) - u(\Delta t)\|_{H^1} \leq c_2(\Delta t)^2,$$

with a constant  $c_2 = c_2(M_2)$ .

In this argument, a bound for the commutator  $\|[\hat{T}, \hat{V}](u)\|_{H^1} = \|[\hat{T}, i\mathcal{B}_V](u)\|_{H^1}$  is used. This depends on the  $H^2$ -norm of  $u$ .

• Combining stability and consistency in  $H^1$ , a standard argument yields convergence in  $H^1$ ,

$$\|u_n - u(t_n)\|_{H^1} \leq \text{const } \Delta t, \quad \text{for } t_n = n\Delta t.$$

• Boundedness of the numerical solution in  $H^1$ ,

$$\|u_n\|_{H^1} \leq \text{const},$$

now follows inductively.

• Next, stability in  $L^2$  is investigated. It is found that

$$\|\mathcal{S}_{\Delta t}(u) - \mathcal{S}_{\Delta t}(v)\|_{L^2} \leq e^{c_3 \Delta t} \|u - v\|_{L^2},$$

with a constant  $c_3 = c_3(M_1)$ .

• Then, the local error in  $L^2$  is considered. We estimate the  $L^2$ -norm of the double commutator  $\|[\hat{T}, [\hat{T}, \hat{V}]](u)\|_{L^2} = \|[\hat{T}, [i\mathcal{B}_V, [i\mathcal{B}_V]](u)]\|_{L^2}$ . The bound depends on  $M_3 = \|u\|_{H^3}$ . We thus conclude

$$\|\mathcal{S}_{\Delta t}(u_0) - u(\Delta t)\|_{L^2} \leq c_4(\Delta t)^3,$$

where  $c_4 = c_4(M_3)$ .

• Since we had previously concluded that  $\|u_n\|_{H^1}$  is bounded, we conclude convergence:

$$\|u_n - u(t_n)\|_{L^2} \leq \text{const } (\Delta t)^2.$$

• Finally we conclude that the numerical approximation  $u_n$  is in  $H^2$  by showing boundedness of  $u_{1/2}^+$ . This follows using the Gronwall Lemma.  $\square$

**Remark:** A similar analysis is given for the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation in [Lubich08].

## Outlook

Incorporation of a nuclear attractive potential  $U = \sum_{k=1}^f \frac{1}{|x_k|}$ :

•  $U \ll \Delta \implies$  **Theorem 1**  $\checkmark$

• Variational splitting — work in progress.

Incorporation of a drift term,  $T^{(k)} \leftarrow \frac{1}{2}(-i\nabla^{(k)} - A(t))^2$  (motivated by applications in laser physics [Caillatetal05]):

•  $\nabla \ll \Delta \implies$  **Theorem 1**  $\checkmark$

• Variational splitting — no new terms appear in commutators  $\implies$  **Theorem 2**  $\checkmark$

## Bibliography

[Bardosetal08] C. Bardos, I. Catto, N. Mauser, and S. Trabelsi, Analysis of the MCTDHF equations. *Submitted*, 2008.

[Caillatetal05] J. Caillat, J. Zanghellini, M. Kitzler, W. Kreuzer, O. Koch, and A. Scrinzi, Correlated Multi-electron Systems in Strong Laser Pulses — An MCTDHF Approach. *Phys. Rev. A*, 71:012712, 2005.

[Haireretal02] E. Hairer, C. Lubich, and G. Wanner. *Geometric Numerical Integration*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 2002.

[KochLubich07] O. Koch and C. Lubich, Regularity of the multi-configuration time-dependent Hartree approximation in quantum molecular dynamics. *M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal.*, 41:315–331, 2007.

[Lubich04] C. Lubich, A variational splitting integrator for quantum molecular dynamics. *Appl. Numer. Math.*, 48:355–368, 2004.

[Lubich08] C. Lubich, On splitting methods for Schrödinger-Poisson and cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equations. To appear in *Math. Comp.*