

## Proof of Lemma 2 :

Noting that the substeps with  $T$ , given by the flow of the free Schrödinger equation  $e^{-i\Delta t T}$ , are propagated by a unitary group of operators (due to the Theorem of Stone), we need to show

$$\| \mathcal{S}_V^t(\mu_0) - \mathcal{S}_V^t(v_0) \| \leq e^{8t} \| \mu_0 - v_0 \|.$$

Writing  $\mu(t) = \mathcal{S}_V^t(\mu_0)$ ,  $v(t) = \mathcal{S}_V^t(v_0)$  and recalling  $\mu = P(\mu)\mu$  and  $v = P(v)v$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mu} - \dot{v} &= -i P(\mu) V P(\mu)(\mu - v) - \\ &\quad - i [P(\mu)V P(\mu) - P(v)V P(v)] v. \end{aligned} \quad \text{I} \cdot (\mu - v)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu - v\| &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|\mu - v\| = \operatorname{Re} \langle \mu - v | \dot{\mu} - \dot{v} \rangle = \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \langle \mu - v | -i [P(\mu)V P(\mu) - P(v)V P(v)] v \rangle = \\ &= \operatorname{Im} \langle \mu - v | P(\mu)V(P(\mu) - P(v))v \rangle + \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Im} \langle \mu - v | [P(\mu) - P(v)] P(v)V v \rangle + \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Im} \langle \mu - v | [P(\mu) - P(v)] P^\perp(v)V v \rangle = \text{I} + \text{II} + \text{III} \end{aligned}$$

To bound I, we write

$$\begin{aligned} [P(\mu) - P(v)]v &= -[P^\perp(\mu) - P^\perp(v)]v = -P^\perp(\mu)v = \\ &= P^\perp(\mu)(\mu - v). \end{aligned}$$

(2) from p. (58) thus gives  $|\text{I}| \leq \beta \epsilon \| \mu - v \|^3$

For estimating  $\text{II}$ , we write

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u-v | [\beta(u) - \beta(v)] \beta(v) V_v \rangle &= \\ &= - \langle u-v | [\beta^+(u) - \beta^+(v)] \beta(v) V_v \rangle = \\ &= - \langle u-v | \beta^+(u) \beta(v) V_v \rangle = \\ &= - \langle \beta^+(u)(u-v) | \beta^+(u) \beta(v) V_v \rangle = \\ &= \langle \beta^+(u)(u-v) | [\beta(u) - \beta(v)] \beta(v) V_v \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

With (1)-(2) on p. 58 it follows

$$|\text{II}| \leq \beta x^2 \|u-v\|^3.$$

Finally, from

$$\|\beta^+(v) V_v\| = \text{dist}(V_v, \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_v \mathcal{M}) = \delta + O(\Delta t)$$

and (1) on p. 58 we have

$$|\text{III}| \leq x(\delta + O(\Delta t)) \|u-v\|^2.$$

Thus, as long as  $\|u-v\| = O(\Delta t)$ , we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u-v\| \leq (x\delta + O(\Delta t)) \|u-v\|. //$$

### 3.5 Gaussian Wave packet Dynamics

(65)

To descend one step further in the complexity of model reductions, we parameterize the approximation by a finite number of parameters.

For the Schrödinger equation in semi-classical scaling (cf. the Born-Oppenheimer approximation on p. 38)

$$i\epsilon \dot{\psi} = -\frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \Delta \psi + V\psi, \quad \epsilon \ll 1$$

we seek an approximation in the form of a Gaussian wavepacket

$$\psi \approx \mu = \exp\left(\frac{i}{\epsilon} [(x - q(t))^T C(t) (x - q(t)) + p(t) \cdot (x - q(t)) + \phi(t)]\right)$$

$C(t)$  is a complex symmetric matrix with positive definite imaginary part,  $\phi \in \mathbb{C}$  is a phase,  $q(t)$  is a position average,  $p(t)$  is a momentum average.

This ansatz was proposed in [39]. Therein, equations of motion for the parameters were derived,

$$\dot{q} = p$$

$$\dot{p} = -\langle \mu | \nabla V | \mu \rangle$$

$$\dot{C} = -2C^2 - \frac{1}{2}\langle \mu | \nabla^2 V | \mu \rangle$$

$$\dot{\phi} = \frac{1}{2} |p|^2 - \langle V \rangle + i\epsilon \text{tr}(C) + \dots$$

$$+ \frac{\epsilon}{8} \langle \mu | \text{tr}((\text{Im}(C))^{-1} \nabla^2 V) | \mu \rangle.$$

Remark: Note that as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , the ansatz function  $u$  becomes increasingly narrow, whence  $\langle u | \nabla V | u \rangle \rightarrow \nabla V(q)$ . Thus the eqns for  $q$  &  $p$  become the classical Newtonian dynamics (see p. ①)

$$\dot{q} = p, \dot{p} = -\nabla V(q),$$

Theorem (without proof): Consider a variational approximation by Gaussian wave packets from p. ⑥5. Assume that the smallest eigenvalue of  $\text{Im}(C(t))$  is bounded from below by a constant  $\beta > 0$ . Assume  $V \in C^3$ . Then for coincident Gaussian initial data,

$$\|u(t) - \psi(t)\| \leq C \cdot t \varepsilon^{1/2},$$

where  $C = C(\beta, \|\partial^3 V\|)$ . Proof: [14]

Numerical Approximation (due to [5])

Variational splitting yields the following subproblems:

1.) Substep with  $V$ :

$$\dot{q} = 0, \dot{p} = -\langle u | \nabla V | u \rangle, \dot{c} = -\frac{1}{2} \langle u | \nabla^2 V | u \rangle,$$

$$\dot{\varphi} = -\langle u | V | u \rangle + \frac{\varepsilon}{8} \langle u | \text{tr}((\text{Im } c)^{-1} \nabla^2 V) | u \rangle$$

2.) Substep with  $T$ :

$$\ddot{q} = p, \dot{p} = 0, \dot{c} = -2C^2, \dot{\varphi} = \frac{1}{2} |p|^2 + i\varepsilon \text{tr}(c).$$

Remarkably, all the substeps in the above algorithm admit an explicit solution:

$$1) q(t) = q^0, p(t) = p^0 - t \langle u | \nabla V | u \rangle,$$

$$C(t) = C^0 - \frac{t}{2} \langle u | \nabla^2 V | u \rangle,$$

$$\mathcal{S}(t) = S^0 - t \langle u | V | u \rangle + \frac{te\varepsilon}{8} \langle u | \text{tr}((\text{Im } C^0)^{-1} \nabla^2 V) \rangle$$

$$2) q(t) = q^0 + tp^0, p(t) = p^0, C(t) = C^0(I + 2tC^0)^{-1},$$

$$\mathcal{S}(t) = S^0 + \frac{t}{2} |p^0|^2 + \frac{i\varepsilon}{2} \text{tr}(\log(I + 2tC^0)).$$

This results in the following algorithm [5]:

Algorithm: For  $W = V, \nabla V, \nabla^2 V$ , write  $\langle W \rangle^n = \langle u^n | W | u^n \rangle$ .

Starting from the Gaussian  $u^n$  with parameters  $q^n, p^n, C^n, S^n$ ,

a time step of the Gaussian wave packet variational integrator  $u^n \mapsto u^{n+1}, t^n \mapsto t^n + \Delta t$ , is performed as follows:

$$1) p^{n+1/2} = p^n - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \langle \nabla V \rangle^n$$

$$C_+^n = C^n - \frac{\Delta t \varepsilon}{4} \langle \nabla^2 V \rangle^n$$

$$\mathcal{S}_+^n = S^n - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \langle V \rangle^n + \frac{\Delta t \varepsilon}{16} \langle \text{tr}((\text{Im } C^n)^{-1} \nabla^2 V) \rangle^n$$

$$2) q^{n+1} = q^n - \Delta t p^{n+1/2}$$

$$C_-^{n+1} = C_+^n (I + 2\Delta t C_+^n)^{-1}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_-^{n+1} = \mathcal{S}_+^n + \frac{\Delta t}{2} |p^{n+1/2}|^2 + \frac{i\varepsilon}{2} \text{tr}(\log(I + 2\Delta t C_+^n))$$

3) Noting that the averages below are the same as those for  $q^{n+1}, C_-^{n+1}, \mathcal{S}_-^{n+1}$

$$p^{n+1} = p^{n+1/2} - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \langle \nabla V \rangle^{n+1}$$

$$C^{n+1} = C_-^{n+1} - \frac{\Delta t}{4} \langle \nabla^2 V \rangle^{n+1}$$

$$\mathcal{S}^{n+1} = \mathcal{S}_-^{n+1} - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \langle V \rangle^{n+1} + \frac{\Delta t \varepsilon}{16} \langle \text{tr}((\text{Im } C^{n+1})^{-1} \nabla^2 V) \rangle^{n+1}.$$

The method is of second order,

and has nice "geometric properties":

1) Preserves unit  $L^2$  norm.

2) Time-Reversible.

3) "Symplectic", see [8].

Proof: [14].

### 3.6 Time-Dependent Density Functional Theory

(69)

To reduce the complexity further, linear scaling w.r.t. the degrees of freedom (# particles) can be achieved by the decoupling of Density Functional Theory [35, 36].

This is based on the Hohenberg-Kohn Theorem [35].

Theorem: Let  $\Psi_0$  denote the ground state (eigenfunction to lowest eigenvalue of Hamiltonian  $H$ ).

This is assumed to be non-degenerate, i.e., the associated subspace has dimension 1. Let

$$E_0 = \langle \Psi_0 | H | \Psi_0 \rangle$$

be the ground state energy. The electron density is

$$S(x) = f \langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle^{(x)} = \\ = f \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{\Psi}(x, x_2, \dots, x_f) \Psi(x, x_2, \dots, x_f) dx_2 \cdots dx_f.$$

Then  $E_0$  is a functional of  $S$ ,  $E_0 = E_0(S)$ .

Proof: [35, 23].

The time-dependent version given in the following was proven in [37], see also [2, 15].

Consider

$$i\dot{\Psi} = H\Psi, \quad H = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^f \Delta^{(k)} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^f \sum_{j \neq k} \frac{1}{|x_k - x_j|} + V_{ext}(x, t) \quad (1)$$

where the separable part of the potential,  $V_{ext}$ , may comprise e.g. nuclear attraction or other external fields such as a laser field,

$$V_{ext}(x, t) = \sum_{k=1}^f V_{ext}(x_k, t).$$

Theorem ([37]): Consider the time-evolution of (1) for two external potentials which differ by more than a constant,

$$V_{ext}(x, t) - \tilde{V}_{ext}(x, t) \neq c(t),$$

with  $\tilde{\Psi}(x, 0) = \Psi(x, 0)$ .

Then there is  $t^* > 0$  s.t. for the associated densities

$$\rho(x, t^*) \neq \tilde{\rho}(x, t^*).$$

Under these conditions, there is a one-to-one mapping between densities and potentials, or in other words, the external potential is a functional of the density.